

Comorbidities in patients consulting their general practitioner for low back pain



PERNOLLET E¹, BOUTON C^{1,2,3}, RAMOND-ROQUIN A^{1,2,3}, RABER C¹, FOUQUET N², HUEZ JF^{1,2,3}

¹ Department of General Practice, University of Angers, France; ² Laboratory of Ergonomics and Epidemiology in Occupational Health, University of Angers, France; ³ National organization of the teaching general practitioners (CNGE)

eric.pernollet@univ-angers.fr

INTRODUCTION

One in two adults suffer from LBP at least once a year¹



8th most frequent reason for encounter (RFE) in general practice

Low back pain is supposed to be associated with other pathologies²

Low back pain (LBP) in France: A major public health problem

Lack of data in France on comorbidities

OBJECTIVE Describe comorbidities of patients from 18 to 65 consulting for LBP in GP

METHODS

ECOGEN = French national cross-sectional study

- •128 GPs, one day per week, 5 months
- •ICPC-2 (International Classification in Primary Care)
- •Data = socio-demographic characteristics of patients, reasons for encounter, results of consultation and procedures

Extraction of data: patients: 18-65

Low back pain with or without sciatica= L03 or L84 or L86 as a result

Comorbidities: the chapter headings of the ICPC, psychopathology and somatoform disorders, code for work problem

Analysis: comparison of <u>COmorbidities</u> in consultations with or without LBP

One result by consultation removed:

- ➤ Consultation without LBP = randomly removed
- ➤ Consultation with LBP= the result of consultation LBP removed

20781 consultations Declined to <18 or >65 participate n=168 n=9103 Patients 18-65 =11510 consultations =23932 results of consultation **LBP** Non LBP 845 consultations = 7,3% 10665 consultations 1934 results of consultation 21998 results of consultation >10665 randomly removed >846 LBP >1088 comorbidities >11333 kept for analysis

RESULTS

	WITH LOW BACK PAIN	WITHOUT	р
Number of consultations	n = 845	n = 10665	
FEMALE	470 (55.6%)	6453 (60.5%)	0,006
AGE (years)			<0,001#
18-34	168 (19.8%)	3022 (28.4%)	
35-44	197 (23.3%)	2167 (20.3%)	
45-54	221 (26.1%)	2286 (21.4%)	
55-65	259 (30.6%)	3190 (29.9%)	
STUDENT	10 (1.2%)	510 (4.8%)	<0,001
PROFESSION			<0,001
Farmers	9 (1.1%)	63 (0.6%)	
Craftsmen, salesmen, managers	34 (4.0%)	515 (4.8%)	
Upper white-collar and professionals	52 (6.1%)	957 (9.0%)	
Technicians, associate profesionals	73 (8.6%)	1139 (10.7%)	
Lower-grade white-collar workers	362 (42.8%)	3554 (33.3%)	
Blue-collar workers	89 (10.5%)	703 (6.59%)	
Retired persons	99 (11.7%)	1401 (13.1%)	
Unemployed persons	127 (15.0%)	2333 (21.8%)	
INCAPACITY	32 (3.8%)	245 (2.3%)	0.01
OCCUPATIONAL INJURIES	78 (9.2%)	359 (3.4%)	<0,001
DURATION OF CONSULTATION* (minutes)	16 (12.5;21)	15 (10.5;20)	<0,001#
NUMBER OF RESULTS BY CONSULTATION**	2.3 (1.31)	2.1 (1.28)	<0,001##
number (percentage); * = Median (interquartiles);	** = Average (standard dev	viation); No missing	g data
NS = non significant (p>0,05)			
Chi 2 test except # = non parametric Wilcoxon or Kı	ruskal Wallis test; ## = Stud	ent t-test	

	Consu	Itation:	WITH LBP	WITHOUT LBP				
n = n	umber of results of consultation		n = 1088	n = 11333	р			
Α	General and unspecified		264 (24.3%)	2149 (19.0%)	<0,003			
D	Digestive		117 (10.8%)	808 (7.1%)	<0,00			
L	Musculoskeletal		94 (8.6%)	1222 (10.8%)	0,03			
R	Respiratory		78 (7.2%)	1084 (9.6%)	0,0			
	Fears of diseases		6 (0.31%)	50 (0.44%)	NS			
	Addictions		24 (2.21%)	272 (2.40%)	NS			
D01	Abdominal pain/cramps general*		10 (0.92%)	49 (0.43%)	0,046			
D12	Constipation*		16 (1.47%)	78 (0.69%)	0,01			
K04	Palpitations/awareness of heart*		1 (0.09%)	15 (0.13%)	NS			
N01	Headache*		5 (0.46%)	35 (0.31%)	NS			
N17	Vertigo/dizziness*		3 (0.28%)	28 (0.25%)	NS			
P06	Sleep disturbance*		20 (1.84%)	180 (1.59%)	NS			
R02	Shortness of breath/dyspnoea*		3 (0.28%)	6 (0.05%)	0,04			
Z05	Work problem		12 (1.1%)	49 (0.43%)	0,005			
P74	Anxiety disorder/anxiety state		14 (1.29%)	167 (1.47%)	NS			
P75	Somatization disorder		1 (0.09%)	7 (0.06%)	NS			
P76	Depression		39 (3.58%)	408 (3.6%)	NS			
	ber (percentage); * = Code corresponding to non significative (p>0,05) : Chi 2 test	an item	of the score PHQ15	somatoform disorde no missi				
Tabl	Table 2 : Comparaison of comorbidities (LBP versus no-LBP consultations)							

DISCUSSION & CONCLUSION

- •National study = an important source of data for primary care research in France.
- •Few disorders (as results of consultation) related to LBP
 - > Digestive disorders: could be due to adverse drug reactions
 - > Work problems : cause or consequence?
- •Contrary to the litterature: psychopathology and somatoform disorders not related to LBP³
- •Limits: -No information about the duration of LBP: acute? chronic? recurrent?
 - -No information about the past medical history of patients: only transversal data.

REFERENCES

¹Gourmelen J, Chastang JF, Ozguler A et al. Frequency of low back pain among men and women aged 30 to 64 years in France. Results of two national surveys. Ann Readapt Med Phys. 2007 Nov;50(8):640-4, 633-9

²Bartholomeeusen S, Van Zundert J, Truyers C, Buntinx F, Paulus D. Higher incidence of common diagnoses in patients with LBP in primary care. Pain Pract. 2012 janv;12(1):1-6.
³Dersh J, Gatchel RJ, Polatin P. Chronic spinal disorders and psychopathology. research findings and theoretical considerations. Spine J. Off. J. North Am. Spine Soc. avr 2001;1(2):88-94.